1	2	3
4.	Micro Labs	2,23,725.95
5.	Themis Chemicals Ltd.	36,30,838.00
6.	Alembic Chemicals Labs	38,72,747.98
7.	Bombay Drug House	1,73,061 00
8.	Pharmed Pvt. Ltd.	68,00,776 80
9.	Dolphin Labs	18,10,988.20
10.	Albert David Ltd.	3,91,419.00
11.	Pharmaceuticals & Chemicals Ind.	87,99,326 50
12.	Cadila Labs. P Ltd.	76,52,433 00
13.	Sarabhai Chemicals	4,13,986.48
14.	Lupin Labs	2,15,89,000.00
15.	Ranbaxy Labs	47,77,652.40
16.	Wallace Pharmaceuticals	2,82,071.00
17.	Ethico Drug & Chemical Mfg. Co.	1,40,97,889.00
	DIPHYRIDAMOL	
1.	M/s. German Remedies	59,95,039.00
	GENTAMYCIN	
1.	M/s. Fulford I Ltd. (Court Case)	194 62 lace
2.	M/s. Biochem Pharma Ltd.	33.88 lace
3.	M's. Lyka labs Ltd.	27.47 lace

Price increase on vitamins by Pfizer Ltd.

4603. DR. (SHRIMATI) NAJMA HEPTULLA: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) what are the names of the companies which filed writ petitions in the Bombay High Court against the prices of vitamins;

(b) what was the price fixed for each formulation and what was the price charged by each company;

(c) what was the decision of the High Court in each case and when and in what form the decision was challenged by his Ministry;

(d) whether it is a fact that without waiting for the decision of the High Court his Ministry has allowed price increase by Pfizer Ltd.;

(e) what is the price fixed for each formulation now and what were the special reasons for resorting to out of court settlement; and

(f) whether the matter would be investigated into through an impartial agency?

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRY (SHRI J. VENGAL RAO): (a) To the extent information is available names of the companies, who had filed writ petitions in the Bombay High Court against the prices of their various multi-vitamin products are given below:

1. M/s. Sandoz (India) Ltd.
2. M/s. Abbott Labs. (P) Ltd.
3. M/s. Pfizer Ltd.
4. M/s. Warner Hindustan.
5. M/s. Parke Davis.

(b) The number of products nvolved being quite large the required details are not readily available.

(c) The High Court in their judgment dated 6-4-87 had quashed the price fixation orders issued by the Government in respect of the first three companies referred to above. The Government have filed appeals before the Division Bench in the High Court against the said judgment.

(d) to (f) M/s. Pfizer had submitted their application for fixation of prices of their concerned products under DPCO, 1987 due to change in the rate of MAPE under the new DPCO. The prices of some of the products have been fixed under DPCO, 1987 by adjusting MAPE on the ex-factory cost allowed in the prices fixed under DPCO, 1979. The details of revised prices are given in the statement (*See* below). The company had also expressed their willingness to withdraw from the court and to implement the prices fixed by way of adjusting the MAPE under DPCO, 1987.

Statement

Sl. No.	Name of the formulation	Pack Size	Revised price fixed under DPCO 1987
1.	Multivitaplex Elixir	100 ml Bottle	12 84
2.	Multivitaplex Forte Capsule	25's Bottle	8 93
3.	Dumasules Caps.	20's Bottle	7 70
4.	Becosules Caps.	20's Bottle	9.67

Fixing of drug prices without BICP Recommendations

4604. SHRI DHARANIDHAR BASUMATARI: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) what are the names of the drugs and the companies where prices have been fixed without BICP recommendations;

(b) what are the prices fixed in each case;

(c) what factors were kept in view of fixing such prices;

(d) what is the time taken by BICP in finalising and sending their report; and

(e) how many reports of BICP are pending with his Ministry and since when?

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRY (SHRI J. VENGAL RAO): (a) and (b) The name of the bulk drugs for which interim prices have been fixed under DPCO, 1987 pend-